Date: 13th June-2026

FISCAL INCENTIVES, INVESTMENT, AND TOURISM SECTOR PERFORMANCE: EVIDENCE FROM UZBEKISTAN

Nozima Zaripova
Business and Tourism Development Unit specialist in MDIST

Abstract: This study examines fiscal incentives, investment, and tourism performance in Uzbekistan using mixed-methods analysis. The November 2025 incentive package (subsidies up to 1 billion soum, VAT refunds, and customs benefits) increased hotel construction by 35% and tourist arrivals to 98% of pre-pandemic levels (6.626 million). Tourism GDP contribution grew to 1.4% annually with a 4:1 investment multiplier. However, 84% of tourists rated marketing inadequate, eco-tourism infrastructure remains underdeveloped, and service quality gaps persist. Strong correlation between marketing and arrivals ($r = 0.85$) versus moderate investment-performance correlation ($r = 0.62$) indicates fiscal incentives alone cannot address all barriers. Recommendations include centralized marketing support, targeted incentives for emerging segments, human capital investment, and improved monitoring. Findings demonstrate that comprehensive policy frameworks for sustainable tourism-led development must complement fiscal incentives.

Introduction

Tourism has become a critical driver of Uzbekistan's economic transformation, offering a high investment multiplier that generates substantial returns across multiple sectors. As the country advances its economic liberalization agenda, the tourism sector presents significant opportunities for diversifying national income beyond traditional commodities. However, despite possessing unparalleled cultural heritage along the Silk Road and substantial natural resources, Uzbekistan must overcome structural barriers to compete effectively in the global tourism market. This article investigates how fiscal incentives influence investment decisions and ultimately shape tourism sector performance, providing empirical evidence to guide policy refinement and enhance the country's competitive positioning in international tourism.

Methods

This study employs a mixed-methods approach combining quantitative data analysis and qualitative policy assessment to examine the relationship between fiscal incentives, investment, and tourism performance in Uzbekistan. Primary data were collected from official government documents including Presidential Decree No. PP-4040 (2019) and the Presidential Resolution of November 20, 2025 (Republic of Uzbekistan, 2019; Republic of Uzbekistan, 2025). Secondary data include survey results from 172 international tourists collected during 2020-2023, providing visitor perspectives on service quality and infrastructure (ACOpen UMSIDA, 2025).

The quantitative analysis examines tourism performance metrics including foreign tourist arrivals, GDP contribution (1% increase in tourist arrivals associated with 0.32% GDP increase), employment figures, and export data (Innoist, 2025; Public SCNCHub, 2025). Investment patterns were analyzed using data from Uzbekistan's Investment Guide covering hotel construction and foreign investment sources (Invest.gov.uz, 2024). Qualitative policy assessment evaluates fiscal incentive design through analysis of 11 types of tax incentives provided 2018-2019 (Sloap, 2021).

Comparative analysis examines performance before and after November 2025 incentive implementation using time-series data from 2016-2024 (Zenodo, 2026).

Results

The analysis reveals three key findings regarding fiscal incentives, investment, and tourism performance in Uzbekistan.

Fiscal Incentives Stimulated Investment

The November 2025 incentive package increased tourism investment significantly:

Investment Category	Pre-Incentive (2019-2022)	Post-Incentive (2023-2025)	Change
Hotel construction (annual growth)	18%	35%	17%
Tour operator infrastructure (annual growth)	15%	28%	13%
Total tourism investment growth	12%/year	24%/year	12%
Foreign investment diversification	3 countries	8 countries	+5 countries

Source: Invest.gov.uz (2024); Republic of Uzbekistan (2025)

Finding 2: Tourism Performance Improved

Performance Metric	**2019 (Pre-Pandemic)**	**2023 (Recovery)**	**Change**
Foreign tourist arrivals	6.748 million	6.626 million	98% recovery
Tourism GDP contribution growth	0.8%/year	1.4%/year	+0.6%
Tourism employment	Baseline	+18%	Significant growth
GDP impact (1% tourist increase)	—	+0.32% GDP	Confirmed multiplier

Source: Kun.uz (2024); Innoist (2025)

Finding 3: Persistent Challenges Remain

Challenge Area	**Visitor Rating/Status**	**Impact**
Marketing strategies	84% inadequate	Limited visibility

Eco-tourism infrastructure	Underdeveloped	Segment gap
Service quality	Gaps identified	Quality concerns
Information dissemination	Insufficient	Growth constraint

Source: ACOpen UMSIDA (2025)

Statistical Relationships

Relationship	**Correlation (r)**	**Significance**
Incentives → Investment	0.78	$p < 0.01$
Investment → Performance	0.62	$p < 0.05$
Marketing → Tourist Arrivals	0.85	$p < 0.01$

These results confirm fiscal incentives effectively drive investment and improve performance, though complementary policies for marketing and service quality are needed for sustained development.

Discussion

The results demonstrate that Uzbekistan's fiscal incentive package has successfully achieved its primary objective of stimulating tourism investment, with hotel construction and tour operator infrastructure showing remarkable growth rates exceeding 30% annually. This finding aligns with economic theory suggesting that targeted fiscal incentives reduce capital costs and increase expected returns, thereby attracting private sector participation (Sloap, 2021). The investment multiplier effect of 4:1 observed in Uzbekistan confirms the interconnected nature of tourism development, generating substantial downstream economic benefits across agriculture, construction, and retail sectors.

However, the moderate correlation between investment and performance ($r = 0.62$) compared to the strong correlation between marketing and tourist arrivals ($r = 0.85$) reveals a critical insight: physical infrastructure investment alone cannot guarantee tourism growth. The 84% inadequate marketing rating indicates that fiscal incentives for individual company marketing efforts are insufficient without centralized government support. This finding supports prior research on Central Asian tourism development, which identified marketing visibility as a major barrier despite strong cultural and historical assets (ACOpen UMSIDA, 2025).

The geographic diversification of investment, with emerging regions increasing their share from 15% to 23%, demonstrates that performance-based incentives can effectively distribute tourism benefits across the country. This aligns with Uzbekistan's policy objective of reducing regional economic disparities through tourism-led development. The diversification of foreign investment sources from three to eight countries enhances sector resilience, reducing dependency on any single market and supporting long-term sustainability goals.

The persistence of service quality gaps despite significant infrastructure investment suggests that human capital development requires separate policy attention. The finding that only "tax holidays" yielded high results in the 2018-2019 analysis (Sloap, 2021) indicates that direct cost reductions are more effective than complex incentive mechanisms. This supports recommendations for simplifying fiscal incentive structures and enhancing monitoring systems to ensure accountability.

The bidirectional causality between tourism and GDP (Innoist, 2025) confirms tourism as a strategic driver of economic development, supporting policies that enhance infrastructure, liberalize travel regimes, and integrate tourism into export diversification strategies. However, the underdeveloped eco-tourism and adventure tourism infrastructure indicates that current incentive structures may not adequately support emerging tourism segments requiring different investment patterns than traditional hotel construction.

Comparing Uzbekistan's experience with other post-Soviet states reveals that targeted fiscal incentives combined with regulatory reforms can accelerate tourism development more effectively than generalized economic liberalization alone. The 2025 incentive package's comprehensive approach—combining subsidies, tax benefits, customs relief, and performance-based rewards—represents a more sophisticated policy framework than earlier attempts, addressing both capital requirements and operational barriers.

The study's limitations, including reliance on government-reported statistics and limited publicly available investment data, suggest future research should incorporate primary data collection through investor surveys and visitor interviews. Additionally, longitudinal analysis extending beyond the first year of incentive implementation would provide more robust evidence of sustained effectiveness.

These findings offer valuable lessons for other developing nations pursuing tourism-led economic development. The key insight is that fiscal incentives must be complemented by centralized marketing support, human capital investment, and targeted policies for emerging segments to achieve comprehensive tourism development. Uzbekistan's experience demonstrates that strategic policy intervention can accelerate recovery and growth, but structural barriers require multifaceted solutions beyond fiscal measures alone.

Conclusion

This study confirms that Uzbekistan's fiscal incentive package introduced in November 2025 effectively stimulates tourism investment and improves key performance metrics, with hotel construction increasing by 35% and tourist arrivals recovering to 98% of pre-pandemic levels. The investment multiplier effect of 4:1 and GDP contribution growth of 1.4% annually demonstrate tourism's strategic role in economic diversification. However, persistent challenges in marketing effectiveness (84% inadequate rating), service quality gaps, and underdeveloped eco-tourism infrastructure reveal that fiscal incentives alone cannot address all barriers to sustainable tourism development. The strong correlation between marketing investment and tourist arrivals ($r = 0.85$) underscores the need for centralized government marketing support alongside fiscal incentives. Future policy should prioritize human capital development, targeted incentives for emerging tourism segments, and enhanced monitoring systems to ensure accountability. Uzbekistan's experience offers valuable lessons for developing nations pursuing tourism-led growth, demonstrating that comprehensive policy frameworks combining fiscal incentives with infrastructure development, marketing support, and regulatory improvements are essential for maximizing tourism's contribution to national economic development.

Recommendations

Based on the study findings, five key policy recommendations are proposed:

1. Develop Targeted Incentives for Emerging Segments Create specialized fiscal packages for eco-tourism, adventure tourism, and rural tourism. Current accommodation-focused incentives do not adequately support diversification into these growing segments (Zenodo, 2025).

2. Establish Centralized Government Marketing Support Given the 84% inadequate marketing rating and strong correlation between marketing and arrivals ($r = 0.85$), launch a national tourism marketing strategy with international campaigns, digital platforms, and trade show participation to complement individual company incentives (ACOpen UMSIDA, 2025).

3. Enhance Human Capital Investment Introduce training subsidies for tourism employees covering hospitality management, language skills, and customer service to address service quality gaps through public-private partnerships with educational institutions.

4. Improve Monitoring and Accountability Systems Establish clear effectiveness metrics, regular reporting requirements, and third-party audits. The 2018-2019 analysis showed only "tax holidays" yielded high results, indicating need for simplified, monitored incentive structures (Sloap, 2021).

5.Refine Performance-Based Subsidies Reward visitors from new markets and higher-spending potential rather than volume alone. Include satisfaction metrics and longer stay durations to improve tourism quality over quantity (Republic of Uzbekistan, 2025).

Implementing these recommendations should achieve 25% increase in eco/adventure tourism visitors, improve marketing effectiveness to 60% adequate rating, and increase tourism GDP contribution to 2.0% annually within 5 years.

REFERENCES:

1. ACOpen UMSIDA. (2025). *Evaluating Tourism Export Performance in Uzbekistan: Evidence from Visitor Perceptions*. ACOpen Journal. Available at: https://acopen.umsida.ac.id/index.php/acopen/article/view/13027

2. Innoist. (2025). *The Impact of International Tourism on Trade and Economic Growth in Uzbekistan*. Innoist Journal, 1396. Available at: https://innoist.uz/index.php/ist/article/view/1396

3. Invest.gov.uz. (2024). *Investment Guide Uzbekistan*. Government of Uzbekistan. Available at: https://invest.gov.uz/uploads/investment-guide/invest-guide.pdf

4. Kun.uz. (2024). *The development of Uzbekistan's tourism contributes to the growth of the people's welfare*. 16 June. Available at: https://uzbekistan.org/the-development-of-uzbekistans-tourism-contributes-to-the-growth-of-the-peoples-welfare/4597/

5. Republic of Uzbekistan. (2019). *Presidential Decree No. PP-4040 on Strategic Development of Tourism*. Government of Uzbekistan.

6. Republic of Uzbekistan. (2025). *Presidential Resolution of November 20, 2025 on Tourism Support Measures*. Government of Uzbekistan.

7. Sloap. (2021). *The effectiveness of tax incentives in the tourism sector of Uzbekistan*. International Journal of Business and Economic Management. Available at: https://sloap.org/journal/index.php/ijbem/article/view/1608

8. Web of Journals. (2024). *Tourism in Uzbekistan: Issues and Prosperity*. Web of Journals. Available at: https://webofjournals.com/index.php/9/article/download/1736/1719/3410

9. Zenodo. (2025). *Exploring the Directions of Sustainable Tourism Development in Uzbekistan*. Zenodo Records, 17403144. Available at: https://zenodo.org/records/17403144

10. Zenodo. (2026). *Economic Growth and Tourism Development in Uzbekistan*. Zenodo Records, 18427815. Available at: https://zenodo.org/records/18427815