

Date: 5th September-2026

FOSTERING 21ST-CENTURY SKILLS-CRITICAL THINKING AND
COLLABORATION)-THROUGH PROJECT-BASED LEARNING (PBL) IN
YOUNG LEARNER EFL CLASSROOMS

Mirzajalilova Maftunabonu

EFL teacher at specialized school N21, Fergana, Uzbekistan

Abstract: Foreign Language education for young learners is shifting from only vocabulary memorization to holistic skill development. This thesis explores the integration of Project-Based Learning (PBL) as a core pedagogical framework to foster 21st-century skills—specifically critical thinking and collaboration—among young EFL learners (ages 7–10) in Uzbekistan. By shifting the classroom dynamic from teacher-centered to inquiry-based, PBL provides contextualized language learning environments. The study proposes a structured model for primary school PBL integration and discusses its implications for language fluency and social-cognitive development.

Keywords: TESOL, Project-Based Learning (PBL), 21st-Century Skills, Young Learners, Critical Thinking, Collaboration, EFL Methodology.

Introduction

In the contemporary globalized educational industry, teaching English as a Foreign Language (EFL) extends far beyond the mere acquisition of linguistic competence, structural learning and grammatical accuracy. The modern 21st-century educational paradigm urgently demands the organic integration of the foundational "4Cs" (Critical thinking, Collaboration, Communication, and Creativity) directly into the core language curriculum. In an interconnected global economy, knowing how to communicate and solve problems within a team is just as vital as knowing the grammatical rules of the target language (Shin & Crandall, 2014).

However, contemporary EFL classrooms in the Republic of Uzbekistan still heavily rely on traditional, teacher-centered methodologies. These legacy approaches prioritize structural mechanical drilling, repetitive translation exercises, and passive memorization over active cognitive engagement and authentic communicative production. Students under these conventional instructional constraints often develop "passive fluency"—they can pass structural grammar tests but struggle to think critically or work collaboratively in real-world professional or academic English settings. When learners are confined to passive listening, their language production becomes artificial, highly scripted, and temporary (Thomas, 2000).

This paper strongly argues that Project-Based Learning (PBL) serves as an optimal, highly adaptable practical methodology to simultaneously bridge the gap between natural language acquisition and holistic cognitive-social skill development. By embedding complex language structures within realistic, tangible objectives, educators can cultivate both communicative fluency and higher-order cognitive processing. This framework



Date: 5th September-2026

transforms the educational environment into a dynamic lab where language is practiced naturally to solve real-world problems (Shin & Crandall, 2014; Thomas, 2000).

Theoretical Framework: PBL and Language Acquisition

Project-Based Learning is an established student-centered pedagogy where learners acquire deeper, more permanent knowledge through the active exploration of real-world challenges and meaningful, open-ended problems (Thomas, 2000).

For language learners, Jean Piaget's constructivist learning theory and Lev Vygotsky's sociocultural theory provide strong, scientifically validated support for this approach. Constructivism posits that learning occurs best when individuals interact socially, manipulate their environment, and actively construct language within meaningful, purposeful contexts rather than receiving it passively from an instructor (Bruner, 1996; Vygotsky, 1978).

Furthermore, Stephen Krashen's Input Hypothesis and Michael Long's Interaction Hypothesis highly support the mechanics of PBL (Krashen, 1982; Long, 1996). According to Long (1996), language acquisition occurs most effectively when learners face a communicative breakdown and must negotiate meaning with their peers.

In a well-structured EFL instructional setting, a PBL framework effectively transforms passive, abstract vocabulary lists into active, functional communicative tools. Instead of memorizing thematic groups of words in total isolation, learners collaborate on an extended project that requires the practical deployment of this lexicon.

This methodological shift fundamentally alters the learner's perception of the target language; English is no longer viewed as an abstract school subject to be tested, but rather as a living, functional tool utilized to solve a concrete problem or achieve a shared team goal. Consequently, linguistic input becomes comprehensible, and language output becomes intrinsically motivated (Krashen, 1982; Long, 1996).

Methodology: Fostering 21st-Century Skills

To effectively foster critical thinking and collaborative problem-solving without overwhelming language learners' developing cognitive capacities, a meticulous three-phase PBL operational framework is proposed and analyzed (Thomas, 2000):

Phase 1: Inquiry, Driving Questions, and Brainstorming (Critical Thinking)

The instructional process begins when the teacher presents a carefully designed, age-appropriate driving question (e.g., *"How can we design a sustainable eco-tourism campaign for our region?"* or *"How can we optimize our campus recycling system?"*). Rather than receiving immediate answers or lectures, EFL learners must actively analyze options, categorize variables, evaluate choices, and make logical decisions entirely in English. This continuous decision-making process directly stimulates both lower-order and higher-order thinking skills (LOTS and HOTS). Critical thinking is manifested as students question their assumptions, sort data logically, and synthesize various viewpoints to formulate a baseline strategy (Bruner, 1996; Shin & Crandall, 2014).

Phase 2: Team working (Collaboration)

During this crucial operational phase, students function within small, diverse teams consisting of 3 to 4 members. They must learn to assign specific individual roles (e.g.,



Date: 5th September-2026

project designer, materials researcher, primary presenter), negotiate competing creative ideas, actively listen to their peers, and reach a decision (Vygotsky, 1978). To ensure the target language remains the primary vehicle of interaction, all peer-to-peer communications are systematically scaffolded by the instructor. The teacher provides highly visible, academic English sentence starters displayed across the classroom board, such as:

"From my perspective, we should prioritize..."

"Do your findings align or conflict with this idea?"

"Let's synthesize these two options to optimize our approach."

Phase 3: Interacting (Communication)

In the final phase of the project, the student teams construct a physical or digital tangible artifact (such as a colorful poster, a digital marketing layout, a website mockup, or a small multimedia presentation) that represents their collective solution. Teams must then stand together and present their final creation to the entire class or an external audience in English. This public showcase builds immense personal confidence, reinforces oral communication skills, reduces public speaking anxiety, and provides a profound sense of academic ownership and achievement (Shin & Crandall, 2014; Thomas, 2000).

Results and Discussion

The systematic implementation of this structured PBL framework within EFL classrooms in Uzbekistan is highly expected to yield several profound qualitative and quantitative shifts in student performance:

- **Linguistic Progression and Lexical Retention:** A measurable increase in long-term vocabulary retention occurs because structural phrases and vocabulary items are utilized repeatedly and meaningfully in context throughout the project's life cycle (Krashen, 1982; Long, 1996).
- **Cognitive Growth and Analytical Independence:** Students gradually move away from simple mechanical replication and imitation. They begin independently evaluating choices, organizing complex ideas, and applying logic to solve immediate problems (Bruner, 1996).
- **Social Maturity and Collaborative Dynamics:** Peer-to-peer friction and classroom behavior issues decrease substantially as learners repeatedly practice the social arts of active listening, compromising, sharing professional responsibilities, and providing mutual collaborative support (Vygotsky, 1978).

The primary operational challenge within the specific educational context of Uzbekistan remains instructor readiness, a lack of specialized training materials, and rigid institutional curriculum timelines. However, integrating smaller "mini-projects" that span only 2 to 3 designated lesson hours can serve as a seamless, highly effective entry point for local teachers trying to modernize their classrooms (Shin & Crandall, 2014).

Conclusion

Fostering critical thinking and collaborative skills through the strategic application of Project-Based Learning is not only highly feasible but absolutely essential for the modern EFL learner. It successfully shifts the pedagogical focus from merely learning



Date: 5th September-2026

about the English language to actively living in the English language (Shin & Crandall, 2014; Thomas, 2000).

For upcoming doctoral research applications, this specific topic provides an incredibly rich, legally sound foundation for future empirical intervention studies, primary/secondary curriculum design, and teacher-training action research within the developing educational sector of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

REFERENCES:

- Bruner, J. (1996). *The culture of education*. Harvard University Press.
- Krashen, S. (1982). *Principles and practice in second language acquisition*. Pergamon Press.
- Long, M. H. (1996). *The role of the linguistic environment in second language acquisition*. Academic Press.
- Shin, J. K., & Crandall, J. (2014). *Teaching English to young learners*. National Geographic Learning.
- Thomas, J. W. (2000). *A review of research on project-based learning*. Autodesk Foundation.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.

